UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,714	08/17/2006	Volker Knop	AP 10886	8793
	7590 06/18/200 L TEVES, INC.		EXAMINER	
ONE CONTINI	ENTAL DRIVE		SY, MARIANO ONG	
AUBURN HILLLS, MI 48326-1581			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/589,714	KNOP, VOLKER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MARIANO SY	3657			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Mar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are withe 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 12-18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	drawn from consideration. r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/17/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/589,714 Page 2

Art Unit: 3657

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 11-18 in the reply filed on May 28, 2009 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- Page 13, line 11 "bleeder screw 30" should be --bleeder screw 31--.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. The abstract on page 14 should be limited to a single paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halasy et al. (DE10320800) in view of Sawyer (US 4,218,811).

Halasy et al. teaches, as shown in fig. 3, a hydraulic brake equipped with a parking brake device including a brake housing in which at least tow hydraulic pressure chambers 7, 13 are provided, with one pressure chamber being formed by a working

chamber 7that is delimited by a brake piston, while the other pressure chamber is formed by an accumulator pressure chamber 13; and wherein brake fluid can be supplied through hydraulic port connection 8 simultaneously to both pressure chambers.

However Halasy et al. failed to provide a dual bleeder simultaneously bleeding the two pressure chambers.

Sawyer teaches, as shown in fig. 1, the use of a dual bleeder through bleeder valve aperture for simultaneously bleeding the two pressure chambers 20, 20.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the brake device of Halasy et al. with a dual bleeder for simultaneously bleeding the two pressure chambers, as taught by Sawyer, in order to effectively remove air or bubbles inside the two pressure chambers instead of providing each pressure chamber with individual bleeder so as to save cost.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 12-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tamm et al. (US 2,431,210)

Becker et al. (US 3,487,896)

Application/Control Number: 10/589,714 Page 4

Art Unit: 3657

Girvan (US 3,547,233)

Burnett (US 3,661,230)

Warwick (US 3,789,961)

Fay (US 4,024,932)

Koshino et al. (US 4,548,301)

Rike (US 5,560,457)

McClanahan (US 6,260,668)

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARIANO SY whose telephone number is (571)272-7126. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:30 A.M. to 2:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi, can be reached on 571-272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/589,714 Page 5

Art Unit: 3657

/MS/

June 2, 2009

/Robert A. Siconolfi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3657